Michael Reactions of Benzylimines Derived from Morphinan-6-ones: Synthesis of Pyrrolo- and Pyridinomorphinans

by Shefali^b), Sanjay K. Srivastava^a), Lee D. Hall^a), John W. Lewis^a), Stephen M. Husbands^{*b})

^a) School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TS b) Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY

The benzylimines 15 derived from oxymorphones 14 and generated in situ reacted with Michael acceptors (methyl methacrylate, maleic anhydride, and α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone) to give opioid ligands 16, 17, and 19 - 21 having pyrrole- or pyridine-derived ring systems (see Scheme 3). The product of the reaction with maleic anhydride displayed a surprising preference for the 2-hydroxypyrrole form 19 rather than for the tautomeric 1,6 dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one form 24, resulting from the stability of the $C(6)=C(7)$ bond in oxymorphone and related structures.

Introduction. - The indolomorphinan structure has become a major focus of interest in opioid medicinal chemistry since the discovery of naltrindole (NTI; 1), the first nonpeptide δ -opioid-receptor-selective antagonist [1]. It was hypothesised that the indole ring mimics the phenylalanine residue of [Met⁵]- and [Leu⁵]enkephalins, which are endogenous δ -opioid receptor ligands. N-Benzylnaltrindole (BNTI; 2) is a potent δ antagonist with selectivity for the δ_2 -subtype and long duration of action in vivo when administered intracerebroventricularly (icv) [2].

The indole moiety of naltrindole has been replaced by a number of structurally diverse heterocycles. These studies have tended to focus on the introduction of a phenyl ring into the morphinan structure in similar or slightly different positions compared to NTI or benzylidenenaltrexone (BNTX; 3), a δ_1 -selective antagonist [3]. Examples include $4-7$ [4-7]. Compared to naltrindole, the new ligands typically have been of limited selectivity, in particular for δ/μ .

Our aim was to develop chemistry that would give access to a range of analogues of these ligands having pyrrole- or pyridine-derived rings to which potential opioid receptor binding groups could be attached. We were attracted to recent literature reports of the reactions of ketone-derived imines (as their secondary enamine tautomers) with Michael acceptors $[8-10]$. Pfau und Ribiere $[11]$ had demonstrated that cyclohexanimines 8 reacted with dimethyl maleate and maleic anhydride to give 1,5-dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-ones 9 related to indole-3-acetic acid (Scheme 1). In reactions with these reagents, *Pfau et al.* [9] showed that the *N*-benzylimine **10** derived from 2methylcyclohexanone gave pyrrolone and pyridinone derivatives $11 - 13$ (*Scheme 2*), in which the more substituted enamine tautomer was involved in the addition, and the products showed high diastereoselectivity.

Our interest was heightened by the knowledge that the morphinan-6-ones and their imine counterparts react readily as their enol and enamine tautomers [7] [12]. This suggested that the benzylimines derived from oxymorphone $(14a)$ and naltrexone $(14b)$ could react similarly with Michael acceptors, giving access to a range of pyrrolo- and pyridino-derived morphinans.

We here report the results of investigations of the reaction of the N-benzyl- and Nmethylimines of oxymorphones generated *in situ* with several activated olefins.

i) Maleic anhydride, $0-20^\circ$, 10 min. ii) Methyl methacrylate, 100° , 3d. iii) Methyl crotonate, 120° , 5d.

Results. – Initially, the procedure of Lim et al. [8] with oxymorphone (=4,5 α epoxy-3,14-dihydroxy-17-methylmorphinan-6-one; 14a) was followed, but we were unable to purify the benzylimine 15a, which decayed on silica-gel columns. However, it was found that, under one-pot conditions somewhat similar to those described by Meyer [13], but allowing the imine to form before introduction of the appropriate *Michael* acceptor and using powdered 4- \AA molecular sieves to suppress reaction of the electrophile with amine [8], the desired cyclized Michael adducts were obtained in good yield. Thus, the reaction of the benzyl- and methylimines derived from oxymorphone (14a) and naltrexone ((=17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-4,5 α -epoxy-3,14-dihydroxymorphinan-6-one; **14b**) with methyl methacrylate $(=$ methyl 2-methylpropenoate) and maleic anhydride $(=(2Z)$ -but-2-enedioic acid anhydride) gave 16, 17, and 19 (via 18) in ca. 40% yields by means of the one-pot procedure (Scheme 3). In the latter case, the solvent EtOH reacted with the initially formed carboxylic acid to afford the ethyl ester. The only other material found in the reaction mixtures was unreacted ketone and imine, showing that addition of amine to the Michael acceptor reagents was effectively inhibited. The procedure was also used with α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone $(=4,5$ -dihydro-3-methylenefuran-2(3H)-one) as *Michael* acceptor to give pyridinones 20 and 21, analogous in structure to 16 and 17, in 69% yield (combined). Methyl crotonate (= methyl $(2E)$ -but-2-enoic acid) when used under identical conditions gave only a trace amount $\left(\langle 5\% \rangle \right)$ of impure product, whereas reaction with ethyl acrylate $($ = ethyl propenoate) gave 60 - 70% of 22. Thus, it appears that the addition is hindered by the presence of a substituent at $C(\beta)$. The only other example where a β -substituent was present was in the reaction with maleic anhydride. Here the substituent is part of the ring system and thus held in the (Z) -configuration, whereas, in methyl crotonate, the β -methyl group is in the (E) -configuration. In each case where diastereoisomers

Scheme 3

 i) $\rm R^1NH_2, EtoH, 4$ -Å molecular sieves, TsOH. ii) Methyl methacrylate, EtOH, 4-Å molecular sieves, TsOH. iii) Maleic anhydride, EtOH, 4-Å molecular sieves, TsOH. iv) α -Methylene-y-butyrolactone, EtOH, 4-Å molecular sieves, TsOH. v): Ethyl acrylate, EtOH, 4-Å molecular sieves.

could be formed, they did so in approximately equivalent amounts. These could be separated by repeated, careful silica-gel column chromatography, except in the cases of 16b, 16c, and 17c.

The structures of pyridinones 16, 17, 20, and 21 were assigned from NMR and X-ray data. In no ¹H-NMR spectrum was there evidence for a vinyl proton, but, in each case, the $C(5)$ proton was present and appeared as a *singlet*, indicating that no proton was present at $C(6)$, thus ruling out products of type 23. The configuration of the side chain in the pyridinone ring of 16, 17, 20, and 21 was assigned from the X-ray crystal-structure analysis of 21a, which showed that the hydroxyethyl group occupies the $5'\beta$ -position; a similar configuration for the 5'-methyl group of **17a** is assumed based on comparison of NMR spectra.

The structure of the dihydropyrrolone product of the maleic anhydride reaction was expected to be 24 on the basis of the normally preferred structure of dihydropyrrolones including that of the product 9 of the equivalent reaction with cyclohexanimines (see Scheme 1). Both the 1,6-dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one structure 24 (with $C(7) = C(4')$ and the 1.3-dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one structure 18 (with $C(6) = C(7)$) have a tertiary C-atom at $C(4')$ (24) or $C(6)$ (18) that were not detected by DEPT NMR. Confirmation of this assignment was obtained from ${}^{1}H, {}^{1}H$ -COSY analysis of the side-chain CH₂ protons, establishing that these were not coupled to any others within the molecule.

Discussion. - The imines derived from 4,5-epoxymorphinan-6-ones and generated in situ reacted smoothly with the Michael acceptors to give adducts that, as expected, cyclized under the reaction conditions. The structures of the products 16 and 17 from the reaction with methyl methacrylate differed from that reported from the reaction of N -benzyl-2-methylcyclohexanimine with methyl methacrylate (see 12 in Scheme 2) with respect to the position of the olefinic bond. In the latter case, the $C=C$ bond is trisubstituted and exocyclic with respect to the pyridinone ring, whereas in 16, 17 it is tetrasubstituted and endocyclic. The reasons for this difference are the steric strain that would be created by the presence of a $C(5)=C(6)$ bond and the inherent stability of the $C(6) = C(7)$ bond in derivatives of oxymorphones.

In the reactions with methyl methacrylate and α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone, both α - and β -epimers were formed. The lack of diastereoselectivity of the cyclizations was in contrast to the work of Pfau et al. [9], who constructed a model for Michael reactions of the N-benzyl-2-methylcyclohexanimine with maleic anhydride, methyl methacrylate, and methyl crotonate. The model involved a chair-like geometry for the reactant complex with concomitant C-C bond-formation and intramolecular H-transfer. The

lack of diastereoselectivity observed in the current work is likely to be related to the use of a protic solvent in the reaction. Thus, intramolecular proton transfer will be competing with proton transfer from the solvent, whereas *Pfau et al.* [9] were able to conduct their *Michael-type* addition in the absence of solvent. It is also possible that the extended reaction time may allow epimerization of the final product and/or uncyclized intermediate. This was investigated in part by refluxing 21a in EtOH in the presence of TsOH (4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid) and molecular sieves. No epimerization was observed.

Reaction with maleic anhydride was predicted [7] to give 18 (Scheme 3). However, it was shown that, in the present case, the tautomeric 5'-hydroxypyrrole structure 19 is favored so that the chiral center at $C(4')$ is lost. As mentioned earlier, the unusual preference for the hydroxypyrrole structure most likely is due to the stability of the $C(6) = C(7)$ bond in naltrexone-derived structures [12]. This was manifested in the acidcatalysed dehydration of the initial product 26 in the annulation of naltrexone (Scheme 4) [12]. The dehydration product at room temperature was the α , β unsaturated ketone 27 (with $C(6) = C(6')$), but this was converted to the $C(6) = C(7)$ isomer 28 under reflux conditions. Thus, the $C(6) = C(7)$ structure 18 would be preferred to the expected $C(7) = C(4')$ isomer 24 with aromatization to 19 giving added stability.

In conclusion, imines derived from morphinan-6-ones were again shown to react readily as their enamine tautomers, confirming the stability of the $C(6)=C(7)$ bond in the morphinan series. The isolation of the 5'-hydroxypyrrole derivative 19 provides further evidence for this stability. The one-pot-reaction conditions allowed rapid access to morphinans having pyrrole- and pyridine-derived ring systems. The functionalized side chains will allow ready elaboration and the generation of further series of opioidreceptor ligands.

This work was supported by NIDA (OTDP, Division of Treatment Research and Development), Grant DA07315.

Experimental Part

General. Reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich or Lancaster and used as received. Org. solns. were dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated with a *Büchi* rotary evaporator at low pressure. Yields are of purified product and were not optimized. Compounds were converted to their hydrochloride salts by dissolving in MeOH and adding HCl/MeOH. M.p.: Reichert hot-stage apparatus; uncorrected. The compounds were routinely checked for their purity by TLC: aluminium sheets coated with silica gel 60 F_{254} (Merck) 5% MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂ with 1% NH₄OH; visualization by UV light and I₂ vapour. Column chromatography (CC): flash silica gel 60 (*Fluka*, mesh 220–240) and 2.5% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ with 1% NH₄OH. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: *Jeol* $JNM\text{-}GX\text{-}FT\text{-}300$ spectrometer; at 300 (¹H) and 75 (¹³C) MHz and r.t.; chemical shifts δ in ppm rel. to SiMe₄ $(=0$ ppm) as internal standard, J in Hz. EI-MS: Fission-Auto spectrometer with electron-impact ionization (70 eV); in m/z (% rel. int.). Elemental analyses: Carlo-Erba EA-1108 analyser; results within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values.

1'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5a-epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5'a,17-dimethylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (16b) and 1'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5' β ,17-dimethylpyr $ido[2',3':6,7]$ morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (17b). A soln. of oxymorphone (14a, 0.25 g, 0.84 mmol), benzylamine (0.1 ml, 0.88 mmol) and TsOH \cdot H₂O (1 mg) in EtOH (3 ml) was refluxed in the presence of 4-Å molecular sieves under N₂ for 3 h. Methyl methacrylate (0.1 ml, 0.88 mmol) was added and reflux continued overnight. The mixture was then cooled, filtered, and evaporated, and the resultant solid washed with hexane to leave crude 16b and 17b, which were purified by CC: 0.15 g (41%) of 16b and ca. 0.07 g of 17b (isolated as a mixture with 16b).

Data of **16b**: R_f 0.68. M.p. 227 – 229°. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.18 (*m*, *PhCH*₂); 6.54 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.48 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1))$; 5.47 $(d, J = 16.7, 1 H, PhCH₂)$; 4.85 $(s, H - C(5))$; 4.55 $(d, J = 16.7, 1 H, PhCH₂)$; 3.05 $(d, J = 18.7, 1 \text{ H}-\text{C}(10));$ 2.72 $(d, J = 6.3, \text{ H}-\text{C}(9));$ 2.57 $(m, 1 \text{ H});$ 2.08 $(m, 11 \text{ H});$ 1.43 $(m, 1 \text{ H});$ 1.05 $(d, J = 100 \text{ H})$ 6.4, Me). ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 173.53, 142.93; 139.63; 139.15; 131.62; 128.91; 128.72; 128.35; 127.13; 126.43; 125.49; 119.34; 119.24; 117.27; 86.13; 70.36; 64.18; 46.24; 45.43; 44.53; 43.06; 36.82; 34.98; 34.27; 31.60; 22.39; 15.25. EI-MS: 458 (100, M^+ , 91 (80). Anal. calc. for $\rm C_{28}H_{30}N_2O_4$ \cdot HCl \cdot 1.75 $\rm H_2O$ (526.5): C 63.87, H 6.60, N 5.32; found: C 64.10, H 7.00, N 5.10.

Data of 17b (mixture with 16b): R_f 0.68. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.17 (*m*, 10 H, *PhCH*₂); 6.77 – 6.66 (*m*, 2 H, $2 \text{ H}-\text{C}(2)$); 6.63–6.51 (m, 2 H, 2 H–C(1)); 5.53–5.49 (m, 2 H, PhCH₂); 5.03 (s, 2 H, 2 H–C(5)); 4.76–4.72 $(m, 2 \text{ H}, \text{PhCH}_2); 1.12 (d, J = 6.8, 3 \text{ H}, \text{Me}); 0.96 (d, J = 7.2, 3 \text{ H}, \text{Me}).$ FAB-MS: 459 (82, $[M+1]^+$).

1'-Benzyl-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,7-didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5' α -methylpyri $do[2',3':6,7.]$ morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (16a) and 1'-Benzyl-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,7-didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy- $4^{\prime},5^{\prime}$ -dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5' β -methylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (17a). As described for 16b/ 17b, from naltrexone (14b; 0.50 g, 1.47 mmol), benzylamine (0.18 ml, 1.47 mmol) and methyl methacrylate (0.15 ml, 1.47 mmol): 0.06 g (20%) of 16a and 0.05 g (17%) of 17a.

Data of **16a**: R_f 0.78. M.p. 207 – 209°. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.30 (*m*, *PhCH*₂); 6.59 (*d*, *J* = 8.1, H – C(2)); 6.51 $(d, J = 8.1, H - C(1)); 5.44 \ (d, J = 16.6, 1 H, PhCH₂); 4.99 \ (s, H - C(5)); 4.76 \ (d, J = 16.6, 1 H, PhCH₂); 3.11$ $(d, J=6.4, \text{ H}-\text{C}(9))$; 3.05 $(d, J=18.5, 1 \text{ H}-\text{C}(10))$; 2.62 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.11 $(m, 8 \text{ H})$; 1.50 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 0.98 $(m, H-C(19)); 0.81$ $(d, J = 6.0, Me); 0.49$ $(m, 2H, H-C(20), H-C(21)); 0.11$ $(m, 2H, H-C(20),$ $H - C(21)$). ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 174.26; 142.89; 139.66; 139.38; 131.83; 128.92; 127.16; 125.45; 119.46; 118.25; 117.24; 86.56; 70.55; 62.55; 61.83; 59.75; 47.05; 44.64; 43.92; 43.81; 37.95; 35.36; 33.73; 31.93; 31.10; 22.95; 15.32; 9.72; 4.02; 3.94. EI-MS: 498 (100, M^{+}), 91 (80). Anal. calc. for $C_{31}H_{34}N_2O_4 \cdot HCl \cdot 2$ H_2O (571.11): C 65.20, H 6.88, N 4.91; found: C 65.12, H 6.77, N 4.61.

Data of **17a**: R_f 0.84. M.p. 215–220°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.40–7.2 (*m*, *PhCH*₂); 6.65 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H–C(2)); 6.51 $(d, J = 7.6, H - C(1))$; 5.51 $(d, J = 16.4, 1 \text{ H}, \text{PhCH}_2)$; 5.0 $(s, H - C(5))$; 4.77 $(d, J = 16.4, 1 \text{ H}, \text{PhCH}_2)$; 3.14 $(d, J = 5.6, H - C(9)); 3.04 (d, J = 18.4, 1 H - C(10)); 2.8 (m, 1 H - C(10)); 2.41 - 1.4 (m, 13 H); 1.20 (d, 3 H, J = 1.44)$ 6.8, Me); 0.83 – 0.80 $(m, H-C(19))$; 0.54 – 0.51 $(m, 2H, H-C(20), H-C(21))$; 0.12 – 0.005 $(m, 2H, H-C(20),$ H-C(21)). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 173.52; 142.63; 139.25; 138.78; 131.35; 128.80; 128.67; 127.01; 126.23; 119.21; 118.76; 117.08; 86.44; 70.34; 61.57; 59.72; 46.93; 44.86; 43.88; 37.13; 35.20; 34.40; 31.71; 23.29; 15.61; 9.78; 4.50; 4.17. FAB-MS: 499 (100, $[M+1]^+$), 91 (60). Anal. calc. for $C_{31}H_{34}N_2O_4 \cdot HCl \cdot 2 H_2O$ (571.11): C 65.20, H 6.88, N 4.91; found: C 64.8, H 6.94, N 4.75.

6,7-Didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-1',5'a,17-trimethylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan- $6'(1'H)$ -one (16c) and $6,7-D$ idehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-1',5' β ,17-trimethylpyri-

 $do[2',3':6,7]$ morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (17c). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.5 g, 1.66 mmol), 2M MeNH₂ in MeOH (1.66 mmol, 1.0 ml), and methyl methacrylate (0.18 ml, 1.66 mmol): 0.2 g (32%) of **16c/17c**, which could not be separated by CC. R_f 0.4. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.65–6.61 (*m*, 2 H, 2 H–C(2)); 6.52–6.48 (*m*, 2 H, $2 H-C(1)$; 5.14 (s, 2 H, 2 H – C(5)); 3.21 (s, 6 H, 2 MeNC); 2.33 (s, 6 H, 2 MeN); 1.05 (d, J = 3.2, 3 H, Me); 0.66 $(d, J = 3.6, 3$ H, Me). EI-MS: 382 (100, M⁺).

1'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5a-epoxy-3,5',14-trihydroxy-17-methyl-1'H-pyrrolo[2',3':6,7]morphinan-4'-acetic Acid Ethyl Ester (19a). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.6 g, 1.99 mmol), benzylamine (0.24 ml, 2 mmol), and maleic anhydride (0.19 g, 2 mmol): 0.22 g (21%) of 19a. R_f 0.56. M.p. 179–181[°]. ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.17 $(m, PhCH_2)$; 6.54 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(2))$; 6.34 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1))$; 6.06 $(s, H - C(5))$; 4.76 $(d, J = 15.9, 1)$ H PhCH₂); 4.55 (d, J = 15.9, 1 H, PhCH₂); 3.95 (q, J = 7.1, MeCH₂O); 3.16 (m, 3 H); 3.0 (m, 2 H); 2.5 (m, 10 H); 1.07 (t, $J = 7.1$, MeCH₂O). ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 170.56; 143.10; 142.99; 141.28; 138.32; 135.95; 128.75; 127.73; 127.38; 122.04; 118.68; 72.98; 62.92; 61.39; 46.97; 43.34; 42.51; 42.47; 31.82; 31.51; 30.96; 29.39; 24.95; 14.24. EI-MS: 516 (50, M^+), 91 (100). Anal. calc. for $C_{30}H_{32}N_2O_6 \cdot HCl \cdot 1.75 H_2O$ (584.57): C 61.63, H 6.28, N 4.78; found: C 61.69, H 5.88, N 4.90.

1'-Benzyl-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,7-didehydro-4,5a-epoxy-3,5',14-trihydroxypyrrolo[2',3':6,7]morphinan-4'-acetic Acid Ethyl Ester (19b). As described for $16b/17b$, from $14b$ (0.50 g, 1.47 mmol), benzylamine (0.16 ml, 1.5 mmol), and maleic anhydride (0.15 g, 1.5 mmol): 0.34 g (40%) of 19b. R_f 0.65. M.p. 191 – 193[°]. ¹H-NMR (CD_2C_2) : 7.24 $(m, PhCH_2)$; 6.61 $(d, J = 8.2, H - C(2))$; 6.43 $(d, J = 8.2, H - C(1))$; 6.16 $(s, H - C(5))$; 4.86 $(d, J = 1)$ 15.8, 1 H, PhCH₂); 4.59 (d, J = 15.8, 1 H, PhCH₂); 4.04 (q, J = 7.1, MeCH₂O); 3.27 (d, J = 16.9, 1 H, CH₂CO₂Et); $3.25(d, J = 16.9, 1 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Et})$; $3.08(d, J = 5.9, \text{H} - \text{C}(9))$; $3.03(d, J = 18.7, 1 \text{ H}, \text{H} - \text{C}(10))$; $2.77(m, 5 \text{ H})$; 2.36 $(m, 2H)$; 2.14 $(m, 2H)$; 1.65 $(m, 1H)$; 1.15 $(t, J = 7.1, \text{ MeCH}_2\text{O})$; 0.80 $(m, H - C(19))$; 0.51 $(m, 2H)$ $H-C(20), H-C(21))$; 0.10 (m, 2 H, $H-C(20), H-C(21))$. ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 170.60; 142.99; 142.69; 141.72; 138.35; 135.98; 128.80; 127.88; 127.74; 127.45; 121.86; 119.22; 118.69; 114.12; 73.10; 61.44; 60.50; 59.49; 45.07; 43.40; 32.49; 31.46; 29.48; 25.49; 14.28; 9.72; 4.02. EI-MS: 556 (50, M^{+}), 91 (100). Anal. calc. for $C_{33}H_{36}N_2O_6$. HCl + 1.25 H₂O (615.64): C 64.38, H 6.47, N 4.55; found: C 64.13, H 6.52, N 4.32.

1'-Benzyl-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,7-didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5' α -(2-hydroxy e thyl)pyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (20a) and 1'-Benzyl-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-6,7-didehydro-4,5 a $epoxy-4', 5'-dihydro-3, 14-dihydroxy-5'β-(2-hydroxyethyl)pyrido[2', 3': 6, 7]morphism-6'(1'H)-one (21a): As de$ scribed for **16b/17b**, from **14b** (0.5 g, 1.46 mmol), benzylamine (0.16 ml, 1.46 mmol), and α -methylene- γ butyrolactone (0.13 ml, 1.46 mmol): 0.13 g (26%) of 20a and 0.17 g (33%) of 21a.

Data of 20a: R_f 0.69. M.p. 200–202°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.19 (m, PhCH₂); 6.59 (d, J = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.47 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1));$ 5.42 $(d, J = 16.5, 1 H, PhCH₂);$ 4.93 $(s, H - C(5));$ 4.75 $(d, J = 16.5, 1 H, PhCH₂);$ 3.52 $(m, 1\,\text{H})$; 3.07 $(d, J = 6.4, \text{ H}-\text{C}(9))$; 2.97 $(d, J = 18.8, 1\,\text{H}, \text{ H}-\text{C}(10))$; 2.54 $(m, 3\,\text{H})$; 2.15 $(m, 8\,\text{H})$; 1.78 $(dd, J=6.0, 1 \text{ H}); 1.55 (m, 1 \text{ H}); 1.33 (m, 2 \text{ H}); 0.75 (m, H-C(19)); 0.45 (m, 2 \text{ H}, H-C(20), H-C(21)); 0.03$ $(m, 2H, H - C(20), H - C(21))$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 174.23; 143.08; 139.36; 138.32; 131.44; 128.81; 128.10; 127.11; 126.19; 126.07; 125.23; 119.53; 118.95; 117.96; 86.14; 69.94; 61.34; 60.98; 59.53; 46.73; 44.56; 43.59; 38.81; 37.72; 32.75 ; 31.95 ; 31.16 ; 23.02 ; 9.47 ; 4.11 ; 3.83 . EI-MS: 528 (100, M^+), 91 (90). Anal. calc. for $C_{32}H_{36}N_2O_5 \cdot HCl \cdot 0.75$ H2O (578.62): C 66.43, H 6.71, N 4.84; found: C 66.60, H 6.66, N 4.66.

Data of 21a: R_f 0.75. M.p. 255 – 256°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.33 – 7.17 (m, PhCH₂); 6.65 (d, J = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.53 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1))$; 5.55 $(d, J = 16.8, 1 H, PhCH₂)$; 4.98 $(s, H - C(5))$; 4.79 $(d, J = 16.6, 1 H, PhCH₂)$; 3.8 – 3.7 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); 3.67 - 3.57 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); 3.13 (d, J = 6.3, H – C(9)); 3.04 (d, J = 18.6, $1 H-C(10)$; 2.64–2.60 $(m, 1 H-C(10))$; 2.5–2.0 $(m, 8 H, 1 H-C(8), CH₂(15), CH₂(16), CH₂(18), 1 H,$ CH_2CH_2OH); 1.7 (m, 1 H, CH_2CH_2OH); 1.55 (br. d, 1 H – C(8)); 0.80 – 0.79 (m, H – C(19)); 0.52 – 0.50 (m, 2 H, $H-C(20), H-C(21)$; 0.11–0.10 (m, 2 H, H-C(20), H-C(21)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 173.81; 142.56; 139.39; 138.23; 128.63; 126.87; 125.94; 119.06; 117.18; 85.71; 70.19; 61.29; 59.34; 46.55; 45.50; 43.0; 40.0; 36.5; 32.80; 32.47; 31.34; 30.90; 22.83; 9.32; 3.95; 3.65. EI-MS: 528 (100, M^+), 91 (80). Anal. calc. for $C_{32}H_{36}N_2O_5 \cdot HCl \cdot$ 1.5 H₂O (592.05): C 64.91, H 6.80, N 4.72; found: C 65.12, H 6.46, N 4.59.

-1'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-3,14-dihydroxy-4',5'-dihydro-5' α -(2-hydroxyethyl)-17-methylpyri do[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (20b) and 1'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5a-epoxy-3,14-dihydroxy-4',5'-dihy $dro-5/\beta$ -(2-hydroxyethyl)-17-methylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (21b). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.5 g, 1.66 mmol), benzylamine (0.19 ml, 1.66 mmol), and α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone (0.14 ml, 1.66 mmol): 0.07 g (12%) of 20b and 0.12 g (21%) of 21b.

Data of **20b**: R_f 0.84. M.p. 200–205°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.30 (*m, PhCH₂)*; 6.59 (*d, J* = 8.0, H–C(2)); 6.56 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1)); 5.47$ $(d, J = 16.6, 1 H, PhCH₂); 4.99$ $(s, H - C(5)); 4.81$ $(d, J = 16.4, 1 H, PhCH₂); 3.6-3.56$ $(m, CH_2CH_2OH); 3.14 (d, J = 18.6, 1 H-C(10)); 2.89 (d, J = 6.2, H-C(9)); 2.65 (m, 1 H-C(10)); 2.51-2.06$ (m, 11 H); 1.58 – 1.5 (m, 2 H); 1.38 – 1.25 (m, CH₂CH₂OH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 174.14; 142.96; 139.38; 138.17; 131.06; 128.71; 127.93; 127.0; 126.08; 125.97; 119.49; 118.67; 117.97; 85.85; 70.01; 63.85; 61.35; 60.81; 45.88; 45.23; 44.46; 42.98; 38.64; 37.53; 32.60; 31.74; 30.95; 30.67; 22.27. EI-MS: 488 (100, M^+). Anal. calc. for C₂₉H₂₂N₂O₅ \cdot HCl ¥ 1.25 H2O (547.56): C 63.6, H 6.52, N 5.11; found: C 63.5, H 6.21, N 5.24.

Data of 21b: R_f 0.87. M.p. 280°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.30 (*m*, *PhCH₂)*; 6.65 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.55 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H-C(1)); 5.54 $(d, J=16.8, 1 \text{ H}, \text{PhCH}_2)$; 4.96 $(s, H-C(5))$; 4.77 $(d, J=16.8, 1 \text{ H}, \text{PhCH}_2)$; 3.76-3.71 $(m, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}); 3.62-3.57$ $(m, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}); 3.13$ $(d, J=18.4, 1 \text{ H}-\text{C}(10)); 2.81$ $(d, J=6.0, 10)$ $H-C(9)$; 2.60 (dd, J = 6.4, 18.8, 1 H - C(10)); 2.46 - 2.21 (m, 9 H); 2.20 - 2.0 (m, 3 H); 1.69 - 1.63 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); 1.55 - 1.51 (m, 1 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 173.65; 142.37; 139.28; 138.05; 130.62; 128.53; 128.37; 126.73; 125.83; 124.34; 118.98; 118.91; 117.16; 85.56; 70.39; 63.78; 61.34; 45.96; 45.11; 44.75; 42.98; 39.19; 36.57; 32.87; 32.55; 31.22; 22.23. EI-MS: 488 (100, M⁺), 91 (70). Anal. calc. for C₂₉H₃₂N₂O₅ · HCl · H₂O (543.06): C 64.14, H 6.50, N 5.16; found: C 64.0, H 6.62, N 5.23.

6,7-Didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5' α -(2-hydroxyethyl)-1',17-dimethylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (**20c**) and 6,7-Didehydro-4,5 a -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-5'ß-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1',17-dimethylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)-one (21c). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.6 g, 1.99 mmol), 2M MeNH₂ in MeOH (1.99 mmol, 1.26 ml), and α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone (0.17 ml, 1.99 mmol): 0.08 g (14%) of 20c and 0.14 g (21%) of 21c.

Data of **20c**: R_f 0.79. M.p. 255 – 260°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.25 (*m*, *PhCH*₂); 6.68 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.56 $(d, J = 8.0, \text{ H}-\text{C}(1)); 5.29 (d, \text{H}-\text{C}(5)); 3.47-3.42 (m, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}); 3.28 (s, \text{MeN}); 3.16 (d, J = 18.8,$ $1 H-C(10)$; 2.85 $(d, J = 6.4, H-C(9))$; 2.66 - 2.47 $(m, 4 H)$; 2.38 (s, MeN) ; 2.29 - 2.27 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.05 (br. s, 2 H); 1.65 - 1.45 (m, 2 H); 1.2 - 1.0 (m, CH₂CH₂OH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃); 174.09; 143.19; 139.79; 131.30; 128.32; 124.93; 119.77; 118.38; 117.82; 85.55; 70.26; 64.08; 60.71; 46.19; 45.56; 43.42; 38.43; 37.75; 32.79; 31.35; 29.24; 22.61. EI-MS: 412 (100). Anal. calc. for $C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_5 \cdot HCl \cdot 0.25 H_2O \cdot 0.5 CH_2Cl_2$ (496.84): C 56.8, H 6.18, N 5.63; found: C 57.2, H 5.84, N 5.78.

Data of 21c: R_f 0.83. M.p. 265 – 267°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.25 (*m*, *PhCH₂)*; 6.67 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, H – C(2)); 6.56 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1));$ 5.19 (s, H – C(5)); 3.74 – 3.69 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); 3.57 – 3.52 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); 3.28 (s, MeN); 3.16 $(d, J = 18.8, 1 H - C(10))$; 2.84 $(d, J = 6.4, H - C(9))$; 2.65 – 2.59 $(dd, J = 6.8, 18.8$, $1 H-C(10)$; 2.46 (d, J = 7.2, 1 H, CH₂N); 2.42 (s, MeN); 2.38 – 2.27 (m, 5 H); 2.25 – 2.19 (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH); $1.99 - 1.83$ (m, 2 H); 1.65 (d, J = 9.2, 1 H, CH₂N); $1.58 - 1.53$ (m, 1 H, CH₂CH₂OH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 173.73; 142.74; 139.73; 130.85; 128.96; 124.52; 119.27; 118.54; 117.64; 85.54; 70.66; 64.08; 61.57; 46.24; 45.49; 43.32; 39.13; 36.61; 33.33; 32.71; 31.69; 29.52; 22.54. FAB-MS: 413 (100, $[M+1]^+$). Anal. calc. for $C_{23}H_{28}N_2O_5 \cdot HCl \cdot$ $0.5 H₂O 0.5 CH₂Cl₂$ (501.34): C 56.29, H 6.22, N 5.58; found: C 56.1, H 6.10, N 5.64.

6,7-Didehydro-4,5 α -epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-1',17-dimethylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan-6'(1'H)one (22a). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.3 g, 0.99 mmol), 2M MeNH₂ in MeOH (0.49 ml, 0.99 mmol) and ethyl acrylate (0.11 ml, 0.99 mmol): 0.16 g (45%) of 22a. R_f 0.5. M.p. 270–275°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.68 $(d, J = 8.4, H - C(2))$; 6.55 $(d, J = 8.4, H - C(1))$; 5.18 (s, H – C(5)); 3.28 (s, MeN); 3.15 (d, J = 18.8, 1 H – C(10)); 2.83 $(d, J = 6.4, H - C(9))$; 2.61 $(dd, J = 18.8, 6.8, 1 H - C(10))$; 2.50 - 2.11 $(m, 10 H)$; 2.0 - 1.6 $(m, 3 H)$. 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 170.37; 142.54; 139.47; 130.64; 128.82; 124.25; 118.84; 117.68; 117.29; 85.49; 70.33; 63.77; 45.89; 45.16; 42.99; 36.44; 31.36; 30.42; 28.67; 25.58; 22.20. FAB-MS: 369.1 (100, [M 1]). Anal. calc. for $C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}O_{4} \cdot HCl \cdot 1.5 H_{2}O \cdot 0.5 CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ (475.33): C 54.32, H 6.14, N 5.89; found: C 54.2, H 6.01, N 6.15.

l'-Benzyl-6,7-didehydro-4,5α-epoxy-4',5'-dihydro-3,14-dihydroxy-17-methylpyrido[2',3':6,7]morphinan- $6'(I'H)$ -one (22b). As described for 16b/17b, from 14a (0.5 g, 1.66 mmol), benzylamine (0.19 ml, 1.66 mmol), and ethyl acrylate (0.18 ml, 1.66 mmol): 0.4 g (60%) of 22b. R_f 0.5. M.p. 185 – 186°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.35 – 7.18 $(m, PhCH_2)$; 6.63 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(2))$; 6.54 $(d, J = 8.0, H - C(1))$; 5.43 $(d, J = 16.8, 1 H, PhCH_2)$; 4.89 $(s, H-C(5))$; 4.86 $(d, J=16.8, 1 \text{ H}, PhCH₂)$; 3.14 $(d, J=18.6, 1 \text{ H}-C(10))$; 2.81 $(d, J=6.3, H-C(9))$; 2.63 - 2.55 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.41 – 1.98 $(m, 11 H)$; 1.56 – 1.51 (br. d, 1 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.61; 142.52; 139.34; 138.59; 130.88; 128.76; 127.17; 126.82; 125.92; 124.64; 119.05; 118.74; 117.15; 86.11; 70.33; 63.82; 46.20; 45.07; 44.33; 42.95; 36.76; 31.13; 30.80; 26.08; 22.11. EI-MS: 444 (100, M⁺), 91 (76). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₈N₂O₄ · HCl · 3.5 H₂O (544.04): C 59.6, H 6.66, N 5.14; found: C 59.9, H 6.31, N 5.28.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. S. Portoghese, M. Sultana, A. E. Takemori, J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1714.
- [2] V. L. Korlipara, A. E. Takemori, P. S. Portoghese, J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1882.
- [3] P. S. Portoghese, M. Sultana, H. Nagase, A. E. Takemori, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1992, 218, 195.
- [4] P. S. Portoghese, H. Nagase, K. E. Moloneyhuss, C. E. Lin, A. E. Takemori, J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1715.

Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 85 (2002) 1799

- [5] F. Farouz-Grant, P. S. Portoghese, J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 1977.
- [6] S. Ananthan, H. S. Kezar, R. L. Carter, S. K. Saini, K. C. Rice, J. L. Wells, P. Davis, H. Xu, C. M. Dersch, E. J. Bilsky, F. Porreca, R. B. Rothman, J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 3527.
- [7] S. K. Srivastava, S. M. Husbands, M. D. Aceto, C. N. Miller, J. R. Traynor, J. W. Lewis, J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 537.
- [8] S. Lim, I. Jabin, G. Revial, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4177.
- [9] M. Pfau, A. Tomas, S. Lim, G. Revial, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1143.
- [10] K. Paulvannan, J. R. Stille, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5319.
- [11] H. Nagase, A. Abe, P. S. Portoghese, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4120.
- [12] H. Meyer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1981**, 1534.
- [13] M. Pfau, C. Ribiere, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1976, 776.

Received February 22, 2002